Working Draft: Do Not Cite

STRATEGIC PRIMORDIALISM: HOW POLITICAL PARTIES ORGANIZE IDENTITY POLITICS

Shaheen Mozaffar. Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus
Department of Political Science
Bridgewater State University
Bridgewater, MA 02325

smozaffar@brigdew.edu

Prepared fopresentation at the State of the Parties Virtual Conference Ray C. Bliss Institute of Applied Politics University of Akron November 4±5, 2021

STRATEGIC PRMORDIALISM: HOW POLITICAL PARTIES ORGANIZE IDENTITY POLITICS

Identities are not fixed essences; they are strategic assertions

Dorinne Kondo (2009)

An identity is questioned only when it is menaced, as when the mighty begin to fall, or when the wretched begin to rise, or when the stranger enters the gates, never, thereafter to be a stranger:

James Baldwin (1976)

On November 2, 2021, Boston made history by electing MitOOH: X DV WKH FLW\¶V II and Asian American Mayor.

After the incumbent Mayor Marty Walsh was appointed by President Biden as Labor Secretary, KHZDVUHSODFHGRQDQDFWLQJEDVLVE\.LP-DQH\DV% I Janey lostni the Democratic primary, which was won by two women, Michelle WuAamndissa EssaibiGeorge,both members of the City Council, who faced each other in the November 2 JHQHUDO HOHFWLRQIRU 0D\RU : KDW PDNHV WKWas%RVWRQ that, besides their gender, Michelle Wu Aannchissa EssaibGeorge are Americalmorn daughters of immigrant parents.

:X¶V SDUHQWV LPPLJUDWHG IURP 7DLZDQ DQG KHU SK\VLR American. Essaibi* HRUJH¶V IDW Kramhtufrolm VTuDista alner Metathother is an immigrant from Poland, and she identifies herself as Politista American. But the press routinely describes her as a person of color, a designation which Essatibitie has often accepted, but for which she has alstogen criticized because her physiognomy easily identifies her to be white, prompting the Boston Globe KHDGOLQ H-Ameticans Belo Decore

Political philosopher Kwame Appiah begins his brilliant boloks, Than Bind: Rethinking Identity (Appiah 2018), with stories of taxirivers mistaking him as Brazilian and addressing KLPLQ3RUWXJXHVHLQ6DR3DXORLGHQWLI\LQJKLPDV38 and a Maghrebi from Belgium in Paris, and expressing skepticism in Wtoshizangd London that he cannot speak Hindi.

These two stories, which can be repeated many times, point up the malleability and contingency of identity, and the ambiguity of physiognomy as an identity ker. The Boston story also highlights the intrinsic poblems in the way identity is conceptualized, analyzed and understood in the United States ordinary people, journalists, and scholars. These problems are best captured by two statements. The first statement, made by politicians of all ideological virip LV 37KDWLVQRWZKRZHDUH′RUHSRVLWLYHO\37KLVLVZKRZH and understanding of identity (Smith 2020). The second statement, usually made in learned discourse, that identity, including, especially, recocially constructed. The second statement is made with the sense that simply making it validates the claim embodied in it. Almost never is the statement followed with even a minimal explanation of how identities, including race, are constructed.

In this paper, blraw on the insights of the comparative politics literature on identity to sketch elements of a theoretical framework that might help to clarify the analytical (conceptual, theoretical and methodological) issues in the systematic studyntifydeand use these insights to examine how American political parties organize identity politics. With rare exceptions (Egan 2020), the comparative politics literature on identity and the study of identity politics in the United States have proceededsitation. But the voluminous literature on identity politics in the United States generally, and on partisanship as social identity (Greene, Palmer and Schickler 2002; Mason 2018) and the emergence of white identity politics in particular (Cramer 2016; Jardina 2019; Sides, Tesler and Vavreck 2018) reveal issues that lend themselves to improved analysis with the analytical insights of the comparative politics scholarship on identity.

Comparative Insights on Identity

The accumulated findings of over threecades of comparative research on identity and politics reflect a strong consensus among scholars on three related analytical issues: (1) Identity is a variable; (3) Identity is endogenous to the political process and institutions that structure politics and (4) Identity is constructed. I will discuss the variability of identity as a separate topic, but I will combine the discussion of identity as endogenous and identity as constructed.

The Variability of Identity ¹

The variability ofidentity is intimately tied to the definition of identity. Definitions of identity abound and I will not review them here. I will instead proceed pragmatically with a utilitarian

extreme cases, eliminate, the malleability caritity. This conflict, in other words, are attempts to primordialize a social construct and to naturalize a human artifact.

The futility of a primordialist conception and understanding of identity also suggests the need for a constructivist conception and derstanding of identity that treats the formation, maintenance and transformation of identities as the outcome of social, economic and political processes.

Constructivism and the Endogeneity of dentity

One would be hard put to find reference to a **consti**vist approach that treats identities as endogenous to politics in the voluminous literature on identity and politics in the United States.

The dominant approach, however, betrays an unwarranted degree of reflexivity in the process of self-categorization that initially signals the potentially relevant cotions between the person DQG WKH WDUJHW LGHQWLW\JURXS DQG WKH SURFHVV RI assimilation into the group based on the mutual affinity of attributes embodied by the person and the identity-group member (Hogg and Terry 2000). But the initial decision to join the identity group derives from the ognition that the members of the target group possess the relevant attributes that invite the decision to join. That decision involves choice, choice suggests availability of alternative information, and choice and availability of alternative information imply the exercise of rationality in linking the expected emotional and psychic satisfaction derived from joining the identity group.

Scholars working in political psychologidat heavily informs the dominant approach point to important developments in cognitive psychology in correctly rejecting the utility of rational choice explanations—(uddy, Sears and Levy (2013); Chong (201But this rejection amounts to throwing thebaby out of the bathwater, for the rejection is more appropriate for the earlier FUXGH YHUVLRQRI³WKLQ´UDWLRQDOFKRLFHWKHRU\WKDInsights from cognitive psychology on the limits of decisionaking capture by such processes DV³ERXQGHONINSTR, Chool 2013 and judgements under certain (and Tversky 198) 2 draw attention instead to a more realisticategic rational choice approach that takes into account the reality of imperfectorimation, the computational limits on information-processing, and the associated use of heuristics, concepts that can fruitfully clarify key aspects of identity construction, stability and change, such as the subjective meaning of identities, gradations niidentity strength considerable stability of social and political identities (Huddy 2001).

The dominant approachmoreoverelides over the stitutional incentives and the associated strategic rationality that motivate the choice of parties, political political political strategic rationality that motivate the choice of parties, political p

social identities are inextricably tied to the political process and the inexhistuthiat structure politics in the United States. And even when an otherwise excellent book disheidly Crisis 6 L G H V 7 H V O H U D Q G 9 D Y U H F N S D L Q V W D N L Q J O \ G H W D I identities, especially racial identities, time 2016 presidential campaign, the analysis never V\VWHPDWLFDOO\FODULILHV WKH PHFKDQLVPV E\ZKLFK'R campaign strategies combined with the heightened salience and politicization of race during % D U D F N 2 E D P DI POM DESIGNAL (Design Contributed to that politicization.

Identity politics and the politics of identity, then, are not mutually exclusive approaches Incorporating their complementary insights, however, requires casting athetesetical lens than allowed by the behavioral approach that underpins the extant literature on identity politics. I discuss the elements of this framework in the next section,

A Preliminary Theoretical Framework

The central insight of constructivism is that social identities are constructed in the course of stiffing clifally and political processes. I first compare constructivism with primordialism, the alternative conception understanding of identity. Constructivism emerged as a powerful and VXFFHVVIXO FRUUHFWLYH WR SULPRUGLDOLVP¶V FRUH DVV I then discuss key concepts that comprise my theoretical framework.

tur-WITJERING0000092**0 672** The district 1000000**0 0 1461.3 631** Timo Gramm** Intitities**

What is Primordial ism?

Primordialism is thedea (ideology?) that identitied s3 12 Tf 156(ideo12 792 rls)7(i1Ao Q q 0.0000091)

tik(e)4d()-9bty edu(r)-6(iny)18()-9k(ins)7hipl to the large2r cltrat coimom which thyn are require o0009

behavior, fnseor n(r)-6mts of recmprcit()-9(a)4(nd)-912 792(li)-3gnatiothat imse rlde2r on the chas of social niteractio(s)9d (whic)4((,)10()-9(i00091utut)18(r)-6n(,)10()-9v(a)4(ti)-3((a)4(te)-7(the)-7(t)18(mt)-

andsencide(s)9(ltate)4(s)9l ndtivdual(s)9()-9(in)-11(im)-3mbut

stined all and o00091uwhoese srthe()-9(a)4(r)-6(e)4()-9nep(e)4ucted o00091udecicaady,- tf eessr,

Pavlovianreflexivity ¹	that animates the	primordial alleg	iance and dedica	tion to theb wiell g

political processes through which threaiges for identification are sustained, contested, and negotiated.

These insights suggest the need for a theoretical framework that rejects a simplistic instrumentalism in which political agents manipulate identities in any way they please to maximize thei interests, and acknowledges structural, institutional and strategic constraints on agency in the construction of social identities. Agency is thus important in the construction of social identities. And agency involves choice. But agents construit in the construction of under conditions of their choosing. The concept of constrained constructivism usefully captures these insights.

The Logic of Constrained Constructivism³

[Figure 1 Here]

Figure1 displays the logic constrained constructivism. The central logic of constrained constructivism is that ethnopolitical group and identity construction is quintessentially a strategic activity of cultural artisanship, but one that is constrained bymbination of socialtructural, institutional and strategic factors. The strategic cultural artisanship that animates social identity construction involves the selection of objective identity markers from the available portfolio of varied cultural elements that comprise plural societies and their configuration into a composite criterion for drawing cultural boundaries that simultaneously assimilate and differentiate individuals into distinct identity groups and invest them with normative significant palitical salience. The configuration of multiple identity markers into a composite criterion of social GLIIHUHQWLDWLRQ DQG DVVLPLODWLRQ LV VSHFLILFDOO\ D of a multiplicity of symbols and of striving fornulti-symbol congruence among a group of SHRSOH GHILQHG LQLWLDOO \ (ErassR1Q94: 20)UTh@ Strlyihg fforhmQlWUDO V\ symbol congruence, if successful, results in the construction of conceptually parsimonious composite identity ethnoritical groups (Hispanic, AsiaAmerican, Muslim, AfroAmerican, White, Christians) that subsume important ingreup differences (Cubans and Mexicans, Chinese and Koreans, Sunnis and Shiites, Irish and Italians, Catholics, Protestants and Evangelicals).

Social-Structural Constraints

Variations in the presence and in the indicators of intra

A related social activation on the unfettered identity construction concerns territorial concentration of poterial identity groups. The growing cultural unity of southern Whites in the United States is the most obvious example. But the results of the 2016 presidential elections also showed the importance of territorial concentration in juxtaposing ethnic airtical odentities in the Texas counties in the Rio Grande Valley where Hispanics, who makeup as high as 90% in some of these counties, voted overwhelmingly for Donald Trump. While ethnically Hispanic, their ideological orientations with respect to gurint grand illegal immigration align them with the ideological orientations of white rural voters in the Midwest.

Institutional Constraints

\$PHULFD¶V LQVWLWXWLRQDO SOXUDOLVP DOVR DOORZHG I ORFDO ³LQVWLWXWLRQDO F⁴RTPisSisOtheWlatséiclreSitHeQtiaN setNemenR PPXQLV SDWWHUQF QHEHIMVEKRQUKRRG WKDW HPHUJHG LQ PDMRU 86 F European immigrants in the ¹¹¹4Century. In addition to reducing the transaction costs of new DUULYDOV LQ DFFHVVLQJ HFRQRPLF UHVRXtbuFidriaNyLQ DQ XQI FRPSOHWH FRPPXQLWLHV UHFRQVWLWXWHG WKHLU HUVWZ into a broader hyphenated identities (Italiammerican, IrishAmerican, etc.) in the context political competition for powers and resources. Asimote local institutions (parties, elections, municipal governments) mediated access to these resources, ethnic entrepreneurs had the incentive to mobilize their identity communities to control these institutions.

Thus, while the institutional framework federalism permitted the existence of functionally delimited ethnic communities in urban centers, local political institutions, also constituted and legitimized by national institutions, encouraged the political construction and mobilization of ethnicity as a politically relevant social identity in local politics. Such mobilization was crucial LQ WKH IRUPDWLRQ RI) UDQNOLQ 5RRVHYHOW¶V 1HZ 'HDO F after the Depression, when the expanding role of the state in macroneic omanagement rendered political institutions at all levels of the polity powerful resource allocation mechanisms.

Similarly, the separation of legislative, executive and judicial powers and feeten relations structured the political strategy of racan-Americans. After being repeatedly thwarted at the state level to secure full civil rights guaranteed in the Constitution at the state level and by the President and Congress, Africamericans were eventually successful in the federal courts.

43, QVWLWXWLRQDO FRPSOHWHQHVV´UHIHUV WR WKthte holantwebs Cobat/ WR ZKLF

The landmark Supreme Court decision *Brown v. Board of Education* began the process of dismantling the onerous discriminatory institutions that eventually resulted in the passage of the Civil Rights Act (1964) and the Voting Rights.

But these political achievements of Africar Americans occurred in the long shadow cast, and continues to be cast, by the most odious example of the institutional construction of identity in the country, the threfifths compromise. The politics surrounding the compromise is well know. What is important for my purposes, however, is that primordial biological definition of identity it created established the baseline conception of Black identity that has shaped American race relations. The Thirteenth Amendment only eliminated plack in material and empirical foundation of that identity, but did not erase the culturally inscribed meaning of racial inferiority fostered initially by the threfifths compromise. And as King and Smith (2005) have shown, that identity was sustained the racial institutional orders in the country after the Emancipation.

Institutions, then, present a combination of constraints and opportunities for the strategic construction, maintenance and transformation of social identities.. How institutions mpth crucial task is best captured by Mary Douglas in her brook Institutions Think (Douglass 1986: 53):

>, @QVWLWXWLRQV « DUH IRXQGHG > RQ DQDORJ\@ ZLW naturalized, they are part of the order of the universeleso are ready to stand as grounds IRU DUJXPHQW « %\ XVLQJ IRUPDO DQDORJLHV WKDW H

First, interest heterogeneity transfers the starcost of social identity groups to cultural entrepreneurs who deploy their entrepreneurial skills (and entrepreneurship is a quintessential strategic activity) to invest the selected objective identity markers with symbolic significance for identity construction and interest definition and mobilize individual actors distinguished by those markers for collective action. Second, due to the **tyster**ge size of social identity groups, especially in large country like the United States where such groups are geographically spread and, indeed, whose individual may never know each personally, interest heterogeneity helps reduce the maintenance costethnopolitical groups over time, since the individual cost of supporting the group is lower in large groups. Joint production and maintenance of social identity thus becomes possible. Third, interdependence engenders conditional cooperation among ethnpolitical actors, whereby the benefits of group action accruing to individual actors depend on the collective benefits accruing to the whole group. In ongoing social identity group, HDFK DFWRU¶V LQWHUHVW LQ PXWXD WesFinRelRn Shabble DWLRQ LV W UHIHUHQFH' -RKQVRQ WRWKH RWKHU DFWRUV LQ individual suboptimal benefits from nonooperative behavior motivates the irredeemably conditional cooperative strategy of all social identiroup actors (Johnson 1988).

However, large social identity groups comprised of heterogeneous interests, even as they help to reduce the cost of individual contributions to group solidarity, render strategic rationality an insufficient

Strategic Primordialism

Given my exposition in support of constructivism as the correct theoretical approach to the study of identity, the notion of strategizimordialism stands as a glaring oxymoron. In these concluding comments, I explain why the notion is a useful way to think about the vitriolic tone and dangerous discourse on identity in the country.

This paper is an initial attempt to fill the gap intent scholarship abolutow political parties organize identity politics and, generally, how identity is conceived and understood by scholars studying the relationship between identity and politics in the United Status competing conceptions inform theolitical organization of identities and the conception and understanding of identity. (1) *Primordialism*, which views identities as fixed essences ineluctably reflected in physiognomy, values, attitudes and behavior, and (2) tructivism, which stressethe strategic malleability of identity stemming from ageleted activation of varied and observable identity constitutive markers to construct, maintain and transform social identities. Comparative scholarshipover the past three decades and nhauserejected primordialism in favour constructivism, but without significant influence on the systematic analysis and understanding of identity politics in the United States. Primordialism, as a result, has become the default conception and explanation.

All social identities, and race and ethnicity in particular, lend themselves easily to a primordialist conception. The empirical markers that they embody and that ostensibly constitute identities represent coeffective shortcuts that signal ineluctable causakages between them and individual values, attitudes and behavior, and more broadly, social, economic and political outcomes. The eliance or primordialism in the study of identity politics in the United States, however, is not a function of Plavian reflexivity. It is quintessentially strategic.

, HPSOR\ WKH WHUP ³VWUDWHJLF SULPRUGLDOLVP' WR UHII groups to increase the social salience and political relevance of erstwhile latent or weakly mobilized varied idetity-FRQVWLWXWLYH PDUNHUV WR FRDOHVFH DUR identity that supersedes other sources of identity and suppresses associatendinteragroup differences. This oxymoronic construction enhances the explanatory powerted ist primordialism by highlighting the fundamental paradoxidentity politics in the United States

American exceptionalism derives from its aspirational founding that envision edrineuction of a new national identity. The vision, of coursestill to be perfectly realized, and progress toward it has been fraught, as we have debated and fought over the nature and meaning of the constructed identity. While slavery and its legacy posed, and continues to pose, a fundamental challenge to this identity, the struggles of several generations of immigrants to contribute to its construction indicate the influence of competing conceptions and understanding of identity.

7 R G Ddentity politics emerged as a response to the oppression, discrimination and marginalization of individuals and groups based on some ascriptive characteristicsly (race and ethnicity) that were previously defined in essentialist terms and the definition enshrined in law and policy, endowing the subjects with institutionalized dimensional primordial identity. This institutionalization structured the mobilization of identity politics, which was now framed and animated by the same essentialist ascriptive characteristics and associated identities that

formed the basis of theopression and marginalization in the first pla@solitical parties have UHIOHFWHG DQG VWUXFWXUHG WKLV YLFLRXV F\FOH LQ \$PHWKH LQVWLWXWLRQDOL]DWLRift@ncanhptoMyse Matterlate of VLQ´LQ ostensibly represents an alternative to racism, but the two mirror each other in their primordial conception and understanding fidentity.

Primordialism as an approach to the systematic study of identity and politics is now widely rejected aross the human sciences and the human fittles fortunately, it continues to influence, perhaps unwittingly, the work of scholars who study identity politics in the United States. It continues to inform media narratives in which the journalistic pendoa using simplifying adjectives ignores the malleability of identities and gloss over their intrinsic characterological variability discussed above. And it is insidiously manifested in popular and academic discourse on diversity, authenticity and cultural appropriation.

The reason for the continued vike hold of primordialismon our thinking about identity is the conceptual simplicity of assigning identity to readily observable physiognomy and related physical and behavioraltributes. It is motivated by a longing for an elusive certainty reflexively reflected in the simplifying narratives of everyday discourse (I am a Republican, said with pride; she is a Democrat, said with venom), even as we suspect, however reluctantly, that our identities as not fixed by nature, but artifacts of our own making that can be easily be deconstructed.

Hence, the imperative of strategic primordialism. But strategic primordialism is a fraught strategy, especially in the context of the current political polacization a hollowed ut middle (Abramowitz 2010). Motivated reasoning is the everyday reflexive response. Over time, however, cultural entrepreneurs and political parties front the classic leadership dilemma of falling victim to their own sucf32 Tf lp W X W L R<@H y. ñ 6tl \`la& 'a'æä®l¹Ä \(\frac{z}{a}\) LG " \(\text{a}\) \(\text{a}\)

WKHUHIRUH EH ILFWL-Walserd Xellation Qand in the lests Laup of luta and the Qo of VLW\ seeing becomes a way of not seeing

But agency is a doubledged sword. In a society based on freedom without orderedylibert DJHQWV EHFRPH ³UDWLRQDO IRROV´DQG ³VRFLDO PRURQV´ recognized, in a society based on freedom tempered by ordered liberty, institutions can HQFRXUDJH VWUDWHJLFDOO\ UDWLRQDOdeDsobh) @ith the WR FUDIW social and cultural endowments to transform swords into ploughshares.

References

Abdelal, Rawi et. al. 2006. "Identity as a Variable rspectives on Politics, 4:695711

Abramowitz, Alan. 2010 The Disappearing Center: Engaged Citizens, Polarization and American Democracy. New Have: Yale University Press.

Anderson, Benedict. 1983 magined Communities. New York: Verso.

Appiah, Kwame. 2018Lies That Bind: Rethinking Identity. New York: W.W. Norton

Baldwin, James. 1976 The Devil Finds Work. New York: Vintage

Brass, Paul R. 1991Ethnicity and Nationalism: Theory and Comparison. New Delhi: Sage.

% UHWRQ 5D\PRQG ³, QVWLWXWLRQDO &RPSOHWHQHV 5HODWLRQV R*MmePicParLJohdrnQdQfWbbMológy* 70: 193205.

Brubaker, Rogers, Maria Loveman, and Peter Stamatov. 2004. Ethnicity as cognition. and Society 33: 31-64

Chandra, Kanchan. 201*Qonstructive Theories of Ethnic Politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Chong, Dennis. 2013Degrees of Rationality in Politics," pp.9629. In The Oxford Handbook of Political Psychology. Oxford; Oxford University Press

Converse, Philip. 1964. "The Nature of Belief Systems in Mass Publics. In ildeology and Discontented by David Apter. Nework: Free Press

Cramer, Kathleen. 2016 The Politics of Resentment: Rural Consciousness in Wisconsin and the Rise of Scott Walker. Chcago: University of Chiacgo Press

Cramer, Kathleen. 2016 he Politics of Resentment: Rural Consciousness in Wisconsin and the Rise of Scott Walker. Chicago: University of Chicago Press

Douglas, Mary C. 1966*Purity and Danger: Analysis of Concepts of Pollution and Taboo*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

Douglas, Mary C. 1986How Institutions Think. Syracuse: Syracuse Press.

Eck, Diana. 2001A New Reliious America: How a "Christian Country" Became the World's Most Religiously Diverse Nation. New York: Harper Collins

Egan, Patrick. 2020. "identity as a Dependent Variable: How Americans Shift Their Identities Align with Their Politics," *American Journal of Political Science* 64:3, pp.699716

Egan, Patrick. 2020. "identity as a Dependent Variable: How Americans Shift Their Identities to Align with Their Politics," *American Journal of Political Science* 64:3, pp.699716

Esman, Milton. 1994 Ethnic Politics. Ithaca: Cornell University Press

Fierke, Karin. 1996. "Multiple identities, interfacing Games," European Journal of International Relations, 2: 467498

Geertz, Clifford. 1981 *Negara: The Theater State in Nineteenth Century Bali*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Green, Donald, Bradley Palmquist, and Eric Schickler. 2002 isan Hearst and Minds: Political Parties and Social Identities of Voters. New Haven: Yale University Press

Green, Donald, Badley Palmquist, and Eric Schickler. 2082rtisan Hearst and Minds: Political Parties and Social Identities of Voters. New Haven: Yale University Press

Greene, Steven 1999. Understanding Party Identification: A Social Identity Appr***Path**i; al Psychology, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1999

*UHHQH 6WHYHQ -3V\F\K7RKOHR6BFDDOOHDVXU#\AriticaW RI3DUWL

Greene, Steven. 2 3 6 R F L D O , G H Q W L W \ 7 K H R U \ D Q G 3 R O L W L F D O , G Quarterly 85: 138153

Hobsbawm, Eric and Terrence Ranger eds. 1983 Invention of Tradition. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Hogg, Michael A. and Deborah J. Terry. 2005ocial Identity and SelCategorization Processes in Organizational Contexts Academy of Management Review, Vol. 25: 121140

Huddy, Leonie and Alexa Bankert. 2017. "Political Partisanship as Social Identity," https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/97801**282**37.013.250

Huddy, Leonie, David Sears and Jack Levy. 2013. "Introduction: Theoretical Foundatsions of Political Psychology," pp.-**1**9. In *The oxford Handbook of Political Psychology*. Oxford; Oxford University Press

Huddy, Leonie. 2001. "From social **political** identity: A Critical Examination of Social Identity theory," *Political Psychology* 22: 127156

Isaacs, Harold. 1975 dols of the Tribe. New York: Harper and Row.

United States, Annual Review of Political Science 22: 129146

Behavior, 2: 171197

lyengar, Shanto,tæl. 2019. "The Origins and Consequences of Affective Polarization in the United States," *Annual Review of Political Science* 22: 129146

Jardina, Ashley. 2019 White Identity Politics. New York: Cambridge University Press

-RKQVRQ -DPHV \$FWL376QPEQGL7FKH /LPLWV Redlite and UDWHJLF Power and Social Theory 7: 211-248.

Kahneman, D and Amos Tversky. 1979. "Prsopect Theory: An Analysis of Decision Under Risk," *Econometrica* 47: 263291

King, Desmond and Rogers Smith. 2005. "Racial Orders in American Political Development," *APSR*, 99:7592

King, Desomd and Rogers Smith. 2005. "Racial Orders in American Political Development," *APSR*, 99:7592

Kondo, Dorinne. 2009*Crafting Selves: Power, Gender, and Discourses of Identity in a Japanese Workplace*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press

Kondo, Dorinne. 2009*Crafting Selves: Power, Gender, and Discourses of Identity in a Japanese Workplace*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press Levendusky, Matthew. 2009.

Smith, Rogers. 2020That is Not Who We Are! Populism and Peoplehood. New Have: Yale University Press

Smith, Rogers. 2020That is Not Who We Are! Populism and Peoplehood.

Figure 1
The Logic of Constrained Constructivism

